

---

**A NEW ITERATIVE METHOD FOR NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS  
IN HILBERT SPACES**

A. RAZANI, M. YAZDI\*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Imam Khomeini International University, P.O. Box:  
34149-16818, Qazvin, Iran

---

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, a new iterative method for finding a common fixed point of a countable nonexpansive mappings in a Hilbert space, is introduced. Then a strong convergence theorem for a countable family of nonexpansive mappings is proved. This theorem improve and extend some recent results of Tian (2010) and Xu (2004).

**KEYWORDS :** Fixed point; Nonexpansive mapping; Iterative method; Variational inequality; Viscosity approximation.

---

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space. A mapping  $S$  of  $H$  into itself is called nonexpansive, if  $\|Sx - Sy\| \leq \|x - y\|$  for all  $x, y \in H$ . Let  $Fix(S)$  denote the fixed points set of  $S$ . We assume  $Fix(S) \neq \emptyset$ , it is well known,  $Fix(S)$  is closed and convex. Recall that a contraction on  $H$  is a self-mapping  $f$  of  $H$  such that  $\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \alpha\|x - y\|$  for all  $x, y \in H$ , where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  is a constant. Let  $A$  be a bounded linear operator on  $H$ .  $A$  is strongly positive; that is, there exists a constant  $\bar{\gamma} > 0$  such that  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq \bar{\gamma}\|x\|^2$ , for all  $x \in H$ .

Moudafi [5] introduced the viscosity approximation method for nonexpansive mappings. Let  $f$  be a contraction on  $H$ , starting with an arbitrary initial  $x_0 \in H$ , define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  recursively by

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) Sx_n, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0, 1)$ . Xu [9] proved that under certain appropriate conditions on  $\{\alpha_n\}$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by (1.1) converges strongly to the unique solution  $x^*$  in  $Fix(S)$  of the variational inequality:

$$\langle (I - f)x^*, x^* - x \rangle \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in Fix(S). \quad (1.2)$$

Note that iterative methods for nonexpansive mappings can be used to solve a convex minimization problem. See, e.g., [2, 8, 10] and references therein. A typical

---

\* Corresponding author.

Email address : msh\_yazdi@ikiu.ac.ir(M. Yazdi).

Article history : Received 17 March 2012. Accepted 25 March 2012.

problem is that of minimizing a quadratic function over the set of the fixed points of nonexpansive mapping on a real Hilbert space

$$\min_{x \in \text{Fix}(S)} \frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle x, b \rangle, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $b$  is a given point in  $H$ .

In [8], it is proved, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by the iterative method below with an arbitrary initial  $x_0 \in H$

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n b + (I - \alpha_n A) S x_n, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.4)$$

converges strongly to the unique solution of the minimization problem (1.3) provided the sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  satisfies certain conditions. Combining the iterative method (1.1) and (1.4), Marino and Xu [4] consider the following general iterative method:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n) + (I - \alpha_n A) S x_n, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (1.5)$$

It is proved, if the sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  satisfies appropriate conditions, then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by (1.5) converges strongly to the unique solution of the variational inequality

$$\langle (\gamma f - A)x^*, x - x^* \rangle \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{Fix}(S).$$

which is the optimality condition for the minimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \text{Fix}(S)} \frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle - h(x),$$

where  $h$  is a potential function for  $\gamma f$  (i.e.,  $h'(x) = \gamma f(x)$  for  $x \in H$ ).

On the other hand, Yamada [10] introduced the following hybrid iterative method for solving the variational inequality

$$x_{n+1} = S x_n - \mu \lambda_n F(S x_n), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.6)$$

where  $F$  is  $k$ -Lipschitzian and  $\eta$ -strongly monotone operator with  $k > 0, \eta > 0$  and  $0 < \mu < 2\eta/k^2$ , then, if  $\{\lambda_n\}$  satisfies appropriate conditions, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by (1.6) converges strongly to the unique solution of the variational inequality

$$\langle Fx^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{Fix}(S).$$

Tian [7] combined the iterative method (1.5) with the Yamada's method (1.6) and considered the following iterative method:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n) + (I - \mu \alpha_n F) S x_n, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (1.7)$$

He proved, if the sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  satisfies appropriate conditions, then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by (1.7) converges strongly to the unique solution  $x^* \in \text{Fix}(S)$  of the variational inequality

$$\langle (\gamma f - \mu F)x^*, x - x^* \rangle \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{Fix}(S).$$

In this paper, motivated by Tian [7], we prove a strong convergence theorem for a countable family of nonexpansive mappings in a Hilbert space. Our result improve and extend the corresponding results in recent works.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Weak and strong convergence is denoted by notation  $\rightharpoonup$  and  $\rightarrow$ , respectively. In a real Hilbert space  $H$ ,

$$\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\|^2 = \lambda\|x\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)\|y\|^2 - \lambda(1 - \lambda)\|x - y\|^2,$$

for all  $x, y \in H$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Then, for any  $x \in H$ , there exists a unique nearest point in  $C$ , denoted by  $P_C(x)$ , such that

$$\|x - P_C(x)\| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \text{for all } y \in C.$$

Such a  $P_C$  is called the metric projection of  $H$  onto  $C$ . It is known,  $P_C$  is nonexpansive. Further, for  $x \in H$  and  $z \in C$ ,

$$z = P_C(x) \Leftrightarrow \langle x - z, z - y \rangle \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } y \in C.$$

Now, we collect some lemmas which will be used in the main result.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space. Then, for all  $x, y \in H$ ,*

- (I)  $\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, x + y \rangle$ ;
- (II)  $\|x + y\|^2 \geq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, x \rangle$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** [1] *Assume  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that*

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \gamma_n)a_n + \gamma_n v_n + r_n,$$

where  $\{\gamma_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0, 1)$ ,  $\{r_n\}$  is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers and  $\{v_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

- (I)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$ ;
- (II)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n \leq 0$ ;
- (III)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n < \infty$ .

Then,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** [3] *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$  and  $S : C \rightarrow C$  a nonexpansive mapping with  $Fix(S) \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $C$  weakly converging to  $x$  and if  $\{(I - S)(x_n)\}$  converges strongly to  $y$ , then  $(I - S)x = y$ .*

**Lemma 2.4.** [1] *Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Suppose*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup\{\|T_{n+1}z - T_n z\| : z \in C\} < \infty.$$

Then, for each  $y \in C$ ,  $\{T_n y\}$  converges strongly to some point of  $C$ . Moreover, let  $T$  be a mapping of  $C$  into itself defined by  $Ty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n y$ , for all  $y \in C$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{\|Tz - T_n z\| : z \in C\} = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** [7] *Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space,  $S : H \rightarrow H$  be a nonexpansive mapping with  $Fix(S) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $f : H \rightarrow H$  be a contraction with coefficient  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $F$  be a  $k$ -Lipschitzian and  $\eta$ -strongly monotone operator on  $H$  with  $k > 0, \eta > 0$ . Let  $0 < \mu < 2\eta/k^2$  and  $0 < \gamma < \mu(\eta - \frac{\mu k^2}{2})/\alpha = \tau/\alpha$ . Then the unique fixed point  $x_t \in H$  of the contraction  $x \mapsto t\gamma f(x) + (I - t\mu F)Sx$  converges strongly to a fixed point  $q$  of  $S$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  which solves the following variational inequality*

$$\langle (\mu F - \gamma f)q, q - z \rangle \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } z \in Fix(S).$$

**Theorem 2.6.** [7] Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space,  $S : H \rightarrow H$  be a nonexpansive mapping with  $Fix(S) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $f : H \rightarrow H$  be a contraction with coefficient  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $F$  be a  $k$ -Lipschitzian and  $\eta$ -strongly monotone operator on  $H$  with  $k > 0, \eta > 0$ . Let  $0 < \mu < 2\eta/k^2$  and  $0 < \gamma < \mu(\eta - \frac{\mu k^2}{2})/\alpha = \tau/\alpha$ . Suppose  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0, 1)$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (I)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ ;
- (II)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ ;
- (III)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+1}} = 1$ .

Let  $x_0 \in H$ . Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (1.7) converges strongly to  $q$  that is obtained in Theorem 2.5.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we prove the following strong convergence theorem for finding a common element of fixed points set of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings in a Hilbert space.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $H$  be a real Hilbert space  $H$ . Let  $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be an infinite family of nonexpansive self-mappings on  $H$  which satisfies  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Fix(S_n) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $f$  be a contraction of  $H$  into itself with coefficient  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $F$  a  $k$ -Lipschitzian and  $\eta$ -strongly monotone operator on  $H$  with  $k > 0, \eta > 0$ . Let  $0 < \mu < 2\eta/k^2$ ,  $0 < \gamma < \mu(\eta - \frac{\mu k^2}{2})/\alpha = \tau/\alpha$  and  $\tau < 1$ . Define a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset H$  as follows:  $x_1 = x \in H$  and

$$\begin{cases} y_n = \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n) + (I - \alpha_n \mu F) S_n x_n, \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n) y_n + \beta_n S_n y_n, \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  are two sequences in  $[0, 1]$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (I)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ ;
- (II)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0$  or  $\beta_n \in [0, b)$  for some  $b \in (0, 1)$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| < \infty$ ;
- (III)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+1}} = 1$ .

Suppose  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup\{\|S_{n+1}z - S_n z\| : z \in K\} < \infty$  for any bounded subset  $K$  of  $H$ . Let  $S$  be a mapping of  $H$  into itself defined by  $Sz = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n z$  for all  $z \in H$  and suppose  $Fix(S) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Fix(S_n)$ . Then the sequences  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (3.1) converge strongly to  $q \in Fix(S)$  which is a unique solution of the following variational inequality

$$\langle (\mu F - \gamma f)q, q - z \rangle \leq 0, \text{ for all } z \in Fix(S).$$

*Proof.* Let  $Q = P_{\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Fix(S_n)}$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(I - \mu F + \gamma f)(x) - Q(I - \mu F + \gamma f)(y)\| &\leq \|(I - \mu F + \gamma f)(x) - \\ &\quad (I - \mu F + \gamma f)(y)\| \\ &\leq \|(I - \mu F)(x) - (I - \mu F)(y)\| \\ &\quad + \gamma \|f(x) - f(y)\| \\ &\leq (1 - \tau)\|x - y\| + \gamma \alpha \|x - y\| \\ &= (1 - (\tau - \gamma \alpha))\|x - y\|, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in H$ . Therefore,  $P_{\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Fix(S_n)}(I - \mu F + \gamma f)$  is a contraction of  $H$  into itself, which implies, there exists a unique element  $q \in H$  such that  $q = Q(I - \mu F + \gamma f)(q) = P_{\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Fix(S_n)}(I - \mu F + \gamma f)(q)$  or equivalently

$$\langle (\mu F - \gamma f)q, q - z \rangle \leq 0, \text{ for all } z \in Fix(S). \quad (3.2)$$

We proceed with following steps:

Step 1.  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are bounded. Let  $p \in \text{Fix}(S)$ . Then, from (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \|(1 - \beta_n)(y_n - p) + \beta_n(S_n y_n - p)\| \leq \|y_n - p\| \\ &= \|\alpha_n(\gamma f(x_n) - \mu F(p)) + (I - \alpha_n \mu F)(S_n x_n - p)\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n \tau) \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \gamma \alpha \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|\gamma f(p) - \mu F(p)\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n(\tau - \gamma \alpha)) \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|\gamma f(p) - \mu F(p)\| \\ &\leq \max\{\|x_n - p\|, \frac{\|\gamma f(p) - \mu F(p)\|}{\tau - \gamma \alpha}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction,

$$\|x_n - p\| \leq \max\{\|x_1 - p\|, \frac{\|\gamma f(p) - \mu F(p)\|}{\tau - \gamma \alpha}\}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Hence,  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, so are  $\{y_n\}$ ,  $\{f(x_n)\}$ ,  $\{(FS_n)x_n\}$  and  $\{S_n y_n\}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{y_n\}$ ,  $\{f(x_n)\}$ ,  $\{(FS_n)x_n\}$ ,  $\{S_n y_n\} \subset K$ , where  $K$  is a bounded set of  $H$ .

Step 2.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0$ . Since  $K$  is bounded,  $\{S_n y_n - y_n\}$ ,  $\{f(x_n)\}$  and  $\{(FS_n)x_n\}$  are bounded. Let

$$M_1 = \sup\{\|S_n y_n - y_n\|, \|f(x_n)\|, \|(\mu F S_n)x_n\| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

From the definition of  $\{x_n\}$ , it is easily seen

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}\| &= \|(1 - \beta_{n+1})y_{n+1} + \beta_{n+1}S_{n+1}y_{n+1} - (1 - \beta_n)y_n - \beta_n S_n y_n\| \\ &= \|(1 - \beta_{n+1})y_{n+1} + \beta_{n+1}S_{n+1}y_{n+1} - (1 - \beta_{n+1})y_n - \beta_n S_n y_n \\ &\quad + (1 - \beta_{n+1})y_n - (1 - \beta_n)y_n - \beta_{n+1}S_n y_n + \beta_{n+1}S_n y_n\| \\ &= \|(1 - \beta_{n+1})(y_{n+1} - y_n) + \beta_{n+1}(S_{n+1}y_{n+1} - S_n y_n) \\ &\quad + (\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n)(S_n y_n - y_n)\| \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_{n+1})\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| + \beta_{n+1}\|S_{n+1}y_{n+1} - S_n y_n\| \\ &\quad + |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| M_1 \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_{n+1})\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| + \beta_{n+1}\|S_{n+1}y_{n+1} - S_n y_{n+1}\| \\ &\quad + \beta_{n+1}\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| + |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| M_1 \\ &\leq \|y_{n+1} - y_n\| + \|S_{n+1}y_{n+1} - S_n y_{n+1}\| + |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| M_1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n+1} - y_n\| &= \|\alpha_{n+1}\gamma f(x_{n+1}) + (I - \alpha_{n+1}\mu F)S_{n+1}x_{n+1} - \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n) \\ &\quad - (I - \alpha_n \mu F)S_n x_n\| \\ &= \|(I - \alpha_{n+1}\mu F)(S_{n+1}x_{n+1} - S_n x_n) - (\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n)\mu F(S_n x_n) \\ &\quad + \alpha_{n+1}\gamma(f(x_{n+1}) - f(x_n)) + (\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n)\gamma f(x_n)\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{n+1}\tau)\|S_{n+1}x_{n+1} - S_n x_n\| + \alpha_{n+1}\gamma \alpha \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ &\quad + |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| \|\gamma f(x_n) - \mu F(S_n x_n)\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{n+1}\tau)(\|S_{n+1}x_{n+1} - S_{n+1}x_n\| + \|S_{n+1}x_n - S_n x_n\|) \\ &\quad + \alpha_{n+1}\gamma \alpha \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n|(\gamma + 1)M_1 \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{n+1}(\tau - \gamma \alpha))\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + M_1(\gamma + 1)|\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| \\ &\quad + \|S_{n+1}x_n - S_n x_n\|, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using (3.4) in (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}\| &\leq (1 - \alpha_{n+1}(\tau - \gamma \alpha))\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ &\quad + 2 \sup\{\|S_{n+1}z - S_n z\| : z \in K\} \\ &\quad + M_2(|\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| + |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n|), \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where  $M_2 = M_1(\gamma + 1)$ . Assume  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| < \infty$ . Setting  $r_n = M_2(|\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| + |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n|) + 2 \sup\{\|S_{n+1}z - S_nz\| : z \in K\}$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n = M_2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| + |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n|) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup\{\|S_{n+1}z - S_nz\| : z \in K\} < \infty.$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 2.2,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0$ . Now, suppose  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+1}} = 1$ . From (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}\| \leq & (1 - \alpha_{n+1}(\tau - \gamma\alpha))\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ & + 2 \sup\{\|S_{n+1}z - S_nz\| : z \in K\} + M_2|\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| \\ & + \alpha_{n+1}M_2\left|1 - \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+1}}\right|. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $r_n = M_2|\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| + 2 \sup\{\|S_{n+1}z - S_nz\| : z \in K\}$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n = M_2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup\{\|S_{n+1}z - S_nz\| : z \in K\} < \infty.$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 2.2,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0$ .

Step 3.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$ . Indeed, from (3.1), we obtain

$$\|x_{n+1} - y_n\| = \beta_n \|y_n - S_n y_n\|.$$

If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| = 0$ . If  $\beta_n \in [0, b)$  for some  $b \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| &= \beta_n \|y_n - S_n y_n\| \\ &\leq \beta_n (\|y_n - S_n x_n\| + \|S_n x_n - S_n y_n\|) \\ &\leq b (\|y_n - S_n x_n\| + \|x_n - y_n\|) \\ &\leq b (\|y_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|y_n - S_n x_n\|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|x_{n+1} - y_n\| \leq \frac{b}{1-b} (\|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|y_n - S_n x_n\|).$$

So, by Step 2 and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - S_n x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n \|\gamma f(x_n) - \mu F(S_n x_n)\| = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| = 0$ . This implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$ .

Step 4.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - S_n x_n\| = 0$ . Since

$$\|x_n - S_n x_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - y_n\| + \|y_n - S_n x_n\|,$$

it follows from Step 2, Step 3 and (3.6) that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - S_n x_n\| = 0$ . By

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - S_n x_n\| &\leq \|S_n x_n - S_n x_n\| + \|S_n x_n - x_n\| \\ &\leq \sup\{\|S_n z - S_n z\| : z \in \{x_n\}\} + \|x_n - S_n x_n\| \end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 2.4, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - S_n x_n\| = 0$ .

Step 5. We claim  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (\gamma f - \mu F)q, y_n - q \rangle \leq 0$ , where  $q = P_{\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(S_n)}(I - \mu F + \gamma f)(q)$ . Take a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (\gamma f - \mu F)q, x_n - q \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle (\gamma f - \mu F)q, x_{n_k} - q \rangle.$$

Since  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  is bounded in  $H$ , without loss of generality, we assume  $x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup z \in H$ . It follows from Step 4 and Lemma 2.3 that  $z \in \text{Fix}(S)$ . So, from (3.2), we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (\gamma f - \mu F)q, y_n - q \rangle = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (\gamma f - \mu F)q, x_n - q \rangle = \langle (\gamma f - \mu F)q, z - q \rangle \leq 0.$$

Step 6.  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $q$ . From (3.1) and Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - q\|^2 &= \|(1 - \beta_n)(y_n - q) + \beta_n(S_n y_n - q)\|^2 \\
&\leq \|y_n - q\|^2 = \|\alpha_n \gamma f(x_n) + (I - \alpha_n \mu F)S_n x_n - q\|^2 \\
&= \|\alpha_n(\gamma f(x_n) - \mu F(q)) + (I - \alpha_n \mu F)(S_n x_n - q)\|^2 \\
&\leq \|(I - \alpha_n \mu F)(S_n x_n - q)\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle \gamma f(x_n) - \mu F(q), y_n - q \rangle \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n \tau)^2 \|x_n - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle \gamma f(x_n) - \gamma f(q), y_n - q \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle \gamma f(q) - \mu F(q), y_n - q \rangle \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n \tau)^2 \|x_n - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \gamma \alpha \|x_n - q\| (\|y_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - q\|) \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle \gamma f(q) - \mu F(q), y_n - q \rangle \\
&\leq (1 - 2\alpha_n(\tau - \gamma\alpha)) \|x_n - q\|^2 + (\alpha_n \tau)^2 \|x_n - q\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n \gamma \alpha \|x_n - q\| \|y_n - x_n\| + 2\alpha_n \langle \gamma f(q) - \mu F(q), y_n - q \rangle \\
&\leq (1 - 2\alpha_n(\tau - \gamma\alpha)) \|x_n - q\|^2 + 2\alpha_n(\tau - \gamma\alpha) \left\{ \frac{(\alpha_n \tau^2) M_3^2}{2(\tau - \gamma\alpha)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\gamma \alpha M_3}{\tau - \gamma\alpha} \|y_n - x_n\| + \frac{1}{\tau - \gamma\alpha} \langle \gamma f(q) - \mu F(q), y_n - q \rangle \right\} \\
&= (1 - \delta_n) \|x_n - q\|^2 + \delta_n \theta_n,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $M_3 = \sup\{\|x_n - q\| : n \geq 1\}$ ,  $\delta_n = 2\alpha_n(\tau - \gamma\alpha)$  and  $\theta_n = \frac{(\alpha_n \tau^2) M_3^2}{2(\tau - \gamma\alpha)} + \frac{\gamma \alpha M_3}{\tau - \gamma\alpha} \|y_n - x_n\| + \frac{1}{\tau - \gamma\alpha} \langle \gamma f(q) - \mu F(q), y_n - q \rangle$ . It is easy to see,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n = 0$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n = \infty$  and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_n \leq 0$ . Hence by Lemma 2.2,  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $q$ . From Step 4, Step 6 and Lemma 2.3, we have  $q \in \text{Fix}(S)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Taking  $F = A$  ( $A$  is a strongly positive bounded linear operator on  $H$ ),  $\mu = 1$  in Theorem 3.1, we get

**Corollary 3.2.** *we have  $\{x_n\}$  generated by*

$$\begin{cases} y_n = \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n) + (I - \alpha_n A)S_n x_n, \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)y_n + \beta_n S_n y_n, \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

*converges strongly to  $q \in \text{Fix}(S)$  which solves the variational inequality*

$$\langle (A - \gamma f)q, q - z \rangle \leq 0, \text{ for all } z \in \text{Fix}(S).$$

Taking  $F = I$ ,  $\mu = 1$ ,  $\gamma = 1$  in Theorem 3.1, we get

**Corollary 3.3.** *we have  $\{x_n\}$  generated by*

$$\begin{cases} y_n = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)S_n x_n, \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)y_n + \beta_n S_n y_n, \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

*converges strongly to  $q \in \text{Fix}(S)$  which solves the variational inequality*

$$\langle (I - f)q, q - z \rangle \leq 0, \text{ for all } z \in \text{Fix}(S).$$

**Remark 3.4.** Theorem 3.1 can be obtained without assumption  $\tau < 1$ . Therefore, Theorem 3.1 is a generalization of Theorem 2.6.

*Proof.* We only use the assumption  $\tau < 1$  for finding  $q \in H$  which solves the variational inequality (3.2) in Theorem 3.1. It is needed to prove Step 5 of the proof of Theorem 3.1. So, we just retrieve Step 5 of the proof of Theorem 3.1. By Theorem 2.5, there exists  $q \in \text{Fix}(S)$  such that

$$\langle (\mu F - \gamma f)q, q - z \rangle \leq 0, \text{ for all } z \in \text{Fix}(S).$$

Thus the Step 5 in the proof of Theorem 3.1 is obtained. The rest of the proof is similar to the original one.  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** Corollary 3.3 is a generalization of [9, Theorem 3.2].

**Acknowledgements** The authors would like to thanks the referees for their valuable comments.

#### REFERENCES

1. K. Aoyama, Y. Kimura, W. Takahashi, M. Toyoda, Approximation of common fixed points of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings in Banach space, *Nonlinear Anal.* 67(2007), 2350-2360.
2. F. Deutech, I. Yamada, Minimizing certain convex functions over the intersection of the fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings, *Nummer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 19(1998), 33-56.
3. K. Geobel, W.A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 28. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
4. G. Marino, H.K. Xu, A general iterative method for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 318(2006), 43-52.
5. A. Moudafi, Viscosity approximation methods for fixed point problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 241(2000), 46-55.
6. J.W. Peng, J.C. Yao, A viscosity approximation scheme for system of equilibrium problems, nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.* doi:10.1016/j.na.2009.05.028.
7. M. Tian, A general iterative algorithm for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 73(2010), 689-694.
8. H.K. Xu, An iterative approach to quadratic optimization, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 116(2003), 659-678.
9. H.K. Xu, Viscosity approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **298** (2004), 279-291.
10. I. Yamada, The hybrid steepest descent for variational inequality problems over the intersection of fixed points sets of nonexpansive mappings in: D. Butnariu, Y. Censor, S. Reich (Eds.), *Inherently Parallel Algorithms in Feasibility and Optimization and Their Application*, Elsevier, New York, 2001, pp.473-504.