
**ON THE GENERALIZED VARIATIONAL-LIKE INEQUALITIES PROBLEMS
FOR MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS**

A. FARAJZADEH^{1,*} AND SALAHUDDIN²

¹Department of Mathematics, Kermanshah Branch, Islamic Azad University, Kermanshah, Iran

²Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202002, India

ABSTRACT. In this paper, two classes of generalized variational-like inequalities problems for multivalued mappings are introduced and then by using KKM technique and Kakutani-Fan-Glicksberg fixed point theorem the solvability of them are investigated when the mappings are relaxed $\eta - \alpha$ -monotone. One can consider this paper the topological vector space version of reference [15].

KEYWORDS : Generalized multivalued variational like inequalities; KKM-mappings, η -hemicontinuity; η -Coercivity; relaxed η - α -monotone; Relaxed η - α -semimonotone mappings

AMS Subject Classification: 47H05 49J40

1. INTRODUCTION

The existence of solutions for variational inequality problems, complementarity problems, equilibrium problems and others is mainly dependent on the monotonicity of a map (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 19]). Recently, many authors, see [7, 8, 9, 10, 11] considered the quasimonotonicity in dealing with variational inequality problems. Verma [17, 18] studied and established some existence theorems for a solution of a class of nonlinear variational inequality problems with p -monotone and p -Lipschitz mappings in the setting of reflexive Banach spaces.

Inspired and motivated by several authors[1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 13, 20], we introduce two new concepts of relaxed η - α -semimonotonicity as well as two classes of variational-like inequalities with relaxed η - α -monotone mappings and relaxed η - α -semimonotone mappings. Using KKM-technique, we obtain the existence of a solution for variational-like inequalities problems with relaxed η - α -monotone mappings in the setting of reflexive Banach spaces. We also present the solvability of variational-like inequalities problems with η - α -semimonotone mappings for an arbitrary Banach space by applying of Kakutani-Fan fixed point theorem [5, 20].

* Corresponding author.

Email address : farajzadehali@gmail.com(A. Farajzadeh), salahuddin12@mailcity.com(Salahuddin).

Article history : Received 11 April 2012. Accepted 26 September 2012.

2. VARIATIONAL-LIKE INEQUALITIES WITH RELAXED η - α -MONOTONE MAPPINGS

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise specified, we always let E be a Hausdorff topological vector space with dual space E^* , K a nonempty closed convex subset of E , T a multivalued mapping from K to E^* , and $\eta : K \times K \rightarrow K$ and $\alpha : E \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ (the real numbers) are mappings. Furthermore, we assume that $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\alpha(tz)}{t} = 0$, for all $z \in K$. This means that α the directional derivative at θ (zero of E) at every direction $z \in K$ is zero. For examples of these mappings, one can consider all α which has the property $\alpha(tz) = t^p \alpha(z)$ for all $t \geq 0$, $p > 1$ and $z \in E$. We note that if we take $E = \mathfrak{R}$ then it is easy to see that the directional derivative of the mapping $\alpha(x) = |x|$ at θ in each direction $z \in E$ is zero but it does not satisfy $\alpha(tz) = t^p \alpha(z)$ for all $t \geq 0$, $p > 1$ and $z \in E$.

Definition 2.1. A multivalued mapping $T : K \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ (2^{E^*} denotes the set of all subsets of E^*) is said to be relaxed η - α -monotone if there exist mappings $\eta : K \times K \rightarrow K$ and $\alpha : E \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ such that the following inequality holds,

$$\langle u - v, \eta(x, y) \rangle \geq \alpha(x - y), \text{ for all } x, y \in K, u \in T(x), \text{ and } v \in T(y). \quad (2.1)$$

Remark:

(i) If $\eta(x, y) = x - y$, for all $x, y \in K$ then (2.1) becomes

$$\langle u - v, x - y \rangle \geq \alpha(x - y), \text{ for all } u \in T(x), \text{ and } v \in T(y), \quad (2.1a)$$

and T is called relaxed α -monotone.

(ii) If $T : K \rightarrow E^*$ is a single valued mapping then (2.1) becomes

$$\langle Tx - Ty, \eta(x, y) \rangle \geq \alpha(x - y), \text{ for all } x, y \in K, \quad (2.1b)$$

and T is called relaxed η - α -monotone mapping (see [14]).

(iii) If $\eta(x, y) = x - y$, for all $x, y \in K$ and $\alpha(z) = k\|z\|^p$, where p and k are positive constants, then (2.1b) reduces to

$$\langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle \geq K\|x - y\|^p, \text{ for all } x, y \in K,$$

and T is called p -monotone (see [12, 20]).

Definition 2.1 Let X and Y be two topological spaces. A set-valued mapping $G : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is called:

(i) **upper semi-continuous** (u.s.c.) at $x \in X$ if for each open set V containing $G(x)$, there is an open set U containing x such that for each $t \in U$, $G(t) \subseteq V$; G is said to be u.s.c. on X if it is u.s.c. at all $x \in X$.

(iii) **lower semi-continuous** (l.s.c.) at $x \in X$ if for each open set V with $G(x) \cap V \neq \emptyset$, there is an open set U containing x such that for each $t \in U$, $G(t) \cap V \neq \emptyset$; G is said to be l.s.c. on X if it is l.s.c. at all $x \in X$.

(vi) **continuous** if G is both lower semi-continuous and upper semi-continuous.

Proposition 2.1 ([16]) Let X and Y be two topological spaces. A set-valued mapping $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is l.s.c. at $x \in X$ if and only if for any $y \in T(x)$ and any net $\{x_\alpha\}$ which converges to x there is a net $\{y_\alpha\}$ such that $y_\alpha \in T(x_\alpha)$ and $y_\alpha \rightarrow y$.

Definition 2.2 Let $T : K \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ and $\eta : K \times K \rightarrow K$ be the two mappings. We say that T is lower η -hemicontinuous whenever, for any $x, y \in K$, the mapping

$f : [0, 1] \longrightarrow 2^{(-\infty, +\infty)}$ defined by,

$$f(t) = \langle T(x + t(y - x)), \eta(y, x) \rangle$$

is lower semicontinuous at 0.

Remark that this definition is weaker than the corresponding definition given in [3].

Definition 2.3 ([6]) A mapping $F : K \longrightarrow 2^E$ is said to be a KKM-mapping, if for any $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset K$, $\text{co}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n F(x_i)$, where $2^E \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ denotes the family of all nonempty subsets of E .

Lemma 2.1 ([6]) Let K be a nonempty subset of a topological vector space X and $F : K \rightarrow 2^X$ a KKM mapping with closed values in K . Assume that there exists a nonempty compact convex subset B of K such that $\bigcap_{x \in B} F(x)$ is compact. Then

$$\bigcap_{x \in K} F(x) \neq \emptyset.$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $T : K \longrightarrow 2^{E^*}$ be lower η -hemicontinuous and relaxed η - α -monotone mapping. Let $f : K \times K \longrightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a proper function (that is $f \neq +\infty$) and $\eta : K \times K \longrightarrow E$ be a mapping. Assume that

- (i) $\eta(x, x) = 0$, for all $x \in K$,
- (ii) for any fixed $x \in K$ and $u \in Ty$, the mapping $y \longrightarrow \langle u, \eta(y, x) \rangle$ is convex,
- (iii) for any fixed $x \in K$, the mapping $y \longrightarrow f(y, x)$ is convex.

Then the following two variational-like inequality problems are equivalent (that is, their solution sets are equal):

- (i) Find $x \in K$ such that

$$\langle u, \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) - f(x, x) \geq 0, \text{ for all } y \in K \text{ and } u \in T(x). \quad (2.2)$$

- (ii) Find $x \in K$ such that

$$\langle v, \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) - f(x, x) \geq \alpha(y - x), \text{ for all } y \in K \text{ and } v \in T(y). \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Let $x \in K$ be a solution of (2.2). Since T is relaxed η - α -monotone, we have

$$\langle v, \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) - f(x, x) \geq \langle u, \eta(y, x) \rangle + \alpha(y - x) + f(y, x) - f(x, x)$$

for all $y \in K$, $v \in T(y)$. Then $x \in K$ is a solution of (2.3).

For vice versa, let $x \in K$ be a solution of (2.3). Assume that y is an arbitrary element of K and $u \in T(x)$. Since x is a solution of (2.3) then $f(x, x) < \infty$. Letting

$$y_t = (1 - t)x + ty, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

(note K is a convex set) then $y_t \in K$. Moreover y_t approaches to x when t converges to zero and so by Proposition 2.1 (note $u \in T(x)$ and T is lower η -hemicontinuous) there is $v_t \in T(y_t)$, such that

$$\langle v_t, \eta(y, x) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle u, \eta(y, x) \rangle \text{ if } t \longrightarrow 0 \quad (*)$$

and hence (note that x is a solution of (2.3))

$$\langle v_t, \eta(y_t, x) \rangle + f(y_t, x) - f(x, x) \geq \alpha(y_t - x) = \alpha(t(y - x)). \quad (2.4)$$

By condition (iii) we get

$$f(y_t, x) - f(x, x) = f((1-t)x + ty, x) - f(x, x) \leq t(f(y, x) - f(x, x)) \quad (2.5)$$

and also conditions (ii) and (i) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_t, \eta(y_t, x) \rangle &= \langle v_t, \eta((1-t)x + ty, x) \rangle \\ &\leq (1-t)\langle v_t, \eta(x, x) \rangle + t\langle v_t, \eta(y, x) \rangle \\ &= t\langle v_t, \eta(y, x) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

It follows from (2.4)-(2.6), for $t \in]0, 1]$, that,

$$\langle v_t, \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) - f(x, x) \geq \frac{\alpha(t(y-x))}{t} = \frac{\alpha(t(y-x)) - \alpha(\theta)}{t}, \quad (2.7)$$

for all $y \in K$ and $v_t \in T(y_t)$. Now the result follows by letting $t \rightarrow 0$ in (2.7), using (*), and the fact that α has nonnegative directional derivative at zero in each direction. That is

$$\langle u, \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) - f(x, x) \geq 0, \text{ for all } y \in K \text{ and } u \in T(x).$$

Hence $x \in K$ is a solution of (2.2). This completes the proof.

We need the following theorem in the sequel.

Theorem 2.2. Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a topological vector space E and E^* the dual space of E . Let $T : K \rightarrow 2^{E^*} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, $f : K \times K \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$ and $\eta : K \times K \rightarrow E$ be three mappings such that,

- (i) $\eta(x, y) + \eta(y, x) = 0$, for all $x \in K$,
- (ii) for any fixed $y \in K$, the mapping $x \rightarrow \langle Tx, \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) - f(x, x)$ is lower semi-continuous,
- (iii) for any fixed $y \in K$, the mappings $x \rightarrow \eta(x, y)$ and $x \rightarrow f(x, y)$ are concave and convex, respectively,
- (iv) $\langle u_i - u_j, \eta(a_i, a_j) \rangle \geq 0$, for each finite subset $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ of K , $y \in coA$ and $u_i \in T(y)$,
- (v) there exist a compact convex subset D of K and a compact subset B of K such that

$$\forall x \in K \setminus B \exists z \in D : \langle u, \eta(z, x) \rangle + f(z, x) - f(x, x) < 0, \text{ for some } u \in T(z).$$

Then the solution set of problem (2.2) is nonempty and compact.

Proof. Define set-valued mapping, $F : K \rightarrow 2^E$ as follows:

$$F(y) = \{x \in K : \forall u \in T(x), \langle u, \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) - f(x, x) \geq 0\}.$$

We claim that F is a KKM mapping. If F is not a KKM-mapping, then there exist subset $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\} \subset K$ and $t_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = 1$,

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i y_i \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n F(y_i),$$

and hence there exist $u_i \in T(y)$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ such that

$$\langle u_i, \eta(y_i, z) \rangle + f(y_i, z) - f(z, z) < 0, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and so

$$\sum_{i=1}^n t_i \langle u_i, \eta(y_i, z) \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i f(y_i, z) - f(z, z) < 0,$$

and by (iii) (f is convex in the first variable) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n t_i \langle u_i, \eta(y_i, z) \rangle < 0,$$

and by (i) (note $\eta(y_i, z) = -\eta(z, y_i)$ and $z = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j y_j$) we get

$$-\sum_{i=1}^n t_i \langle u_i, \eta(z, y_i) \rangle < 0,$$

and it follows from (iii) and (i) that

$$-\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n t_i t_j \langle u_i, \eta(y_j, y_i) \rangle < 0,$$

and so by (i) (note $\eta(y_i, y_i) = 0, \eta(y_i, y_j) = -\eta(y_j, y_i)$) we get

$$\sum_{i < j} t_i t_j \langle u_i - u_j, \eta(y_i, y_j) \rangle < 0,$$

and so $\langle u_i - u_j, \eta(y_i, y_j) \rangle < 0$, for some $i < j$, which is contradicted (by (iv)). This implies that F is a KKM-mapping. We claim that $F(y)$ is closed for all $y \in K$. Indeed, let $\{x_\alpha\}$ be a net in $F(y)$ which converges to $x \in K$. We have to show that $x \in F(y)$. To see this let $v \in T(x)$ be an arbitrary element. By (ii) through Proposition 2.1 there is net $\{v_\alpha\}$ in E^* with $v_\alpha \in T(x_\alpha)$ such that

$$\langle v_\alpha, \eta(y, x_\alpha) \rangle + f(y, x_\alpha) - f(x_\alpha, x_\alpha) \longrightarrow \langle v, \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) - f(x, x) \quad (I)$$

and since $x_\alpha \in F(y)$ we deduce from (I) that

$$\langle v, \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) - f(x, x) \geq 0,$$

and hence $x \in F(y)$. Also it follows from (v) that $\bigcap_{z \in D} F(z) \subseteq B$, and so F satisfies all the assumptions of Lemma 2.1 and then there exists $\bar{x} \in \bigcap_{y \in K} F(y)$. This means that \bar{x} is a solution of problem 2.2. Furthermore the solution set of problem 2.2 equals to the intersection $\bigcap_{y \in K} F(y)$ which by using (v) is a subset of the compact set B and, note $\bigcap_{y \in K} F(y)$ is closed, so it is compact. This completes the proof of theorem. \square

Remark. (i) It is clear that one can omit condition (v) in Theorem 2.2 when the set K is compact.

(ii) In [7], the authors, instead of condition (v) in Theorem 2.2, considered the following condition for a reflexive Banach space, which consists of finding $x_0 \in K$ such that,

$$\frac{\langle u - u_0, \eta(x, x_0) \rangle - f(x_0, x) + f(x, x)}{\|\eta(x, x_0)\|} \longrightarrow +\infty, \quad (II)$$

whenever $\|x\| \longrightarrow \infty$, for all $u \in T(x)$, $u_0 \in T(x_0)$.

They (II) called η -coercive. It is clear that (II) is a special case of condition (v) in Theorem 2.2. Because for each positive real number M there is another positive number N such that

$$\|x\| > N \Rightarrow \frac{\langle u - u_0, \eta(x, x_0) \rangle - f(x_0, x) + f(x, x)}{\|\eta(x, x_0)\|} > M. \quad (III)$$

Now we can take $B = \{x : \|x\| \leq N\}$ and $D = \{x_0\}$ which are weakly compact (note E is a reflexive Banach space) and convex. Moreover by condition (i) of Theorem 2.2 $\eta(x, x_0) = -\eta(x_0, x)$ and by multiplying the relation (III) by -1 we get

condition (v) in Theorem 2.2.

An special case of (II) has been given in [19] as follows ,

$$\frac{\langle u - u_0, \eta(x, x_0) \rangle + f(x) - f(x_0)}{\|\eta(x, x_0)\|} \longrightarrow +\infty ,$$

whenever $\|x\| \longrightarrow \infty$, for all $u \in T(x)$, $u_0 \in T(x_0)$.

By combining Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 one can deduce the next result.

Theorem 2.3. Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a topological vector space E and E^* the dual space of E . Let $T : K \longrightarrow 2^{E^*} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ be lower η -hemicontinuous and relaxed η - α -monotone and the conditions (i)-(v) of Theorem 2.2 and condition (ii) of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then the solution sets of problems (2.2) and (2.3) are equal and a nonempty compact subset of K .

We note that if T is a single valued mapping and f is a zero map, then the Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are equivalent to the problems considered and studied by Bai et al [1].

3. VARIATIONAL-LIKE INEQUALITIES WITH RELAXED η - α -SEMIMONOTONE MAPPINGS

Throughout this section, let E be an arbitrary locally convex topological vector space(briefly, locally convex space) with its dual E^* and K a nonempty closed convex subset of E .

Definition 3.1. Let $A : K \times K \longrightarrow 2^{E^*}$, $\eta : K \times K \longrightarrow E$ and $\alpha : E \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ be three mappings. The mapping A is called relaxed $\eta - \alpha$ -semimonotone if the mapping $y \longrightarrow A(w, y)$ is relaxed $\eta - \alpha$ -monotone, for each $w \in K$. In this section we consider the following problem of finding $x \in K$ such that

$$\langle u, \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) - f(x, x) \geq 0, \text{ for all } y \in K \text{ and } u \in A(x, y). \quad (3.1)$$

where $f : K \times K \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}$.

In order to prove our existence theorem we need the following result.

Theorem 3.1 (Kakutani-Fan-Glicksberg)([5]). Let X be a locally convex Hausdorff space, $D \subseteq X$ a nonempty, convex compact subset. Let $T : D \longrightarrow 2^D$ be upper semicontinuous with nonempty, closed convex $T(x)$, for all $x \in D$. Then T has a fixed point in D .

Theorem 3.2. Let E be a locally convex Hausdorff space, $K \subseteq E$ a nonempty closed convex set, $A : K \times K \longrightarrow 2^{E^*}$ a relaxed $\eta - \alpha$ -semimonotone mapping, $f : K \times K \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ a proper convex and weakly lower semicontinuous functional, and $\eta : K \times K \longrightarrow E$ a mapping. If for all $w \in K$, the mapping $y \in A(w, y)$ satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 and the mapping, for all $w \in K$, $x \longrightarrow \langle u, \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) - f(x, x) \geq 0$, for all $y \in K$ and $u \in A(w, y)$, is convex and upper semicontinuous, then problem (3.1) has a solution. Moreover the solution set of problem (3.1) is compact and convex.

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, for each $w \in coB$, the set

$$G(w) = \{x \in coB : \langle u, \eta(y, x) \rangle + f(y, x) - f(x, x) \geq 0, \text{ for all } y \in K \text{ and } u \in A(w, y)\}$$

is nonempty convex and compact subset of $B \subset K$. Now the mapping $G : coB \rightarrow 2^{coB}$ defined by $w \rightarrow G(w)$ fulfils all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 and hence there is $x \in coB \subset K$ such that $x \in G(x)$ and so x is a solution of problem 3.1 and so the solution set of the problem 3.1 is nonempty. It is clear that the solution set of problem (3.1) is equal to the intersection

$$\bigcap_{w \in K} G(w) \subseteq \bigcap_{x \in coB} G(w) \subset D$$

and since $G(w)$, for all $w \in K$ is closed and D is compact then the solution set problem (3.1) is compact and the convexity of the solution set is obvious from the assumptions. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.1. If A is a single valued mapping and f is a zero map, then problem (3.1) is equivalent to the problem (3.1) considered and studied by Bai et al [1]. Note that Theorems 2.2 and 3.1 are topological vector space version of Theorems 2.1 and 2.6, respectively, in [3].

Acknowledgements. The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referee for valuable comments and suggestions which helped to improve the paper. This research was in part supported by Islamic Azad university, Kermanshah Branch, Iran.

REFERENCES

- [1] Min Ru. Bai, S.Z. Zhou and G.Y. Ni, Variational-like inequalities with relaxed η - α pseudo monotone mappings in Banach spaces, Appl. Math. Lett. 19(2006), 547-554.
- [2] M. Binachi, and S. Schaible, Generalized monotone bifunctions and equilibrium problems, J. Optim. Theo. Appl. 90(1996), 31-43.
- [3] Y.G. Chen, On the semimonotone operator theory and applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 231(1999), 177-192.
- [4] R.W. Cottle and J.C. Yao, Pseudo monotone complementarity problems in Hilbert spaces, J. Optim. Theo. Appl. 78(1992), 281-295.
- [5] K. Fan, Some properties of convex sets related to fixed point theorems, Mathematische Annalen 266(1984), 519-537.
- [6] K. Fan, A minimax theorem for vector-valued functions, J. Optim. Theory Appl., 60 (1989), 19-31.
- [7] Y.P. Fang and N.J. Huang, Variational like inequalities with generalized monotone mappings in Banach spaces, J. Optim. Theo. Appl. 118(2)(2003), 327-338.
- [8] F. Giannessi, Vector Variational Inequalities and Vector Equilibria, (edited), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Holland, 2000.
- [9] R. Glowinski, J.L. Lions and R. Tremoliers, Numerical Analysis of Variational Inequalities, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1981.
- [10] N. Hadjesavvas and S. Schaible, A Quasimonotone variational inequalities in Banach spaces, J. Optim. Theo. Appl. 90(1996), 95-111.
- [11] G.J. Hartman and G. Stampacchia, On some nonlinear elliptic differential functional equations, Acta Math. 115 (1966), 271-310.
- [12] M.-Kwang Kang, N.J. Huang and B.S. Lee, Generalized pseudomonotone set valued variational-like inequalities, Indian J. of Mathematics 45(3)(2003), 251-264.
- [13] B.S. Lee, M.F. Khan and Salahuddin, Generalized vector variational type inequalities, Computer Math Applications 55(6)(2008), 1164-1169.
- [14] D.T. Luc, Existence results for density pseudo monotone variational inequalities, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 254(2001), 291-308.
- [15] Salahuddin, M.K. Ahmad, A.P. Farajzadeh, R.U. Verma, Generalized multivalued variational like inequalities in Banach spaces, PanAmerican Mathematical Journal. 21 (4)(2011), 31-40.
- [16] N.X. Tan, Quasi-variational inequalities in topological linear locally convex Hausdorff spaces, Math. Nachr. 122 (1985) 231-245.

- [17] R.U. Verma, Nonlinear variational inequalities on convex subsets of Banach spaces, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 20(1997), 25-27.
- [18] R.U. Verma, On monotone nonlinear variational inequalities problems, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolina* 39(1)(1998), 91-98.
- [19] X.Q. Yang and G.Y. Chen, A class of nonconvex functions and prevariational inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 169(1992), 359-373.
- [20] X.Z. Yuan, *KKM Theory and Applications in Nonlinear Analysis*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1999.